

Implementation of the Santiago Principles

Edwin M. Truman, Senior Fellow IFSWF Annual Meeting Oslo, Norway October 4, 2013



The IFSWF should be proud

2013 Scoreboard

	Average	Change from 2007
Groups of SWFs	Percent	Percentage Points
All SWFs	54	17
IFSWF funds	65	21
2013 survey funds	71	22
Non-IFSWF funds	42	10



Overlap between SWF Scoreboard and Santiago Principles

- 33 elements in the SWF Scoreboard
- 25 overlaps with the 30 Santiago Principles and Sub-principles
- Scoreboard covers 16 of the 24 Santiago Principles

(8 principles cannot be verified, are repeats, or have no operational content)



SWFs are not a uniform group

		Santiago Principles				Santiago Principle	
		25	16			25	16
Fund	Scoreboard	elements	elements	Fund	Scoreboard	elements	elements
1	98	98	99	15	66	79	82
2	94	98	97	16	64	70	79
3	91	92	92	17	59	64	69
4	91	94	91	18	58	71	78
5	90	94	85	19	56	62	64
6	89	96	96	20	53	56	49
7	88	90	87	21	44	42	40
8	86	90	89	22 *	39	43	39
9	85	86	83	23	29	32	34
10	85	80	73	24	17	17	20
11	83	82	81	25 *	6	7	5
12	76	82	84	26 *	2	2	2
13 *	73	77	85	IFSWF (26)	65	68	69
14	69	76	77	IFSWF (22)	71	74	75

^{*} Indicates a non-participant in the 2013 survey.



Differences in implementation scores (1)

Legal Framework, Objectives, and Coordination with Macroeconomic Policies

		Implementation *		
Principle	Scoreboard	Full	Full and Partial	
1. Legal framework	89	95	98	
2. Policy purpose	98	95	98	
3. Integrated with macroeconomic policies	70	55	78	
4. Source of funds and use of earnings	81	95	98	
Subtotal	84	85	93	

^{*} IFSWF Survey with half credit for partial implementation.

Differences in implementation scores (2)



Institutional Framework and Governance Structure

		Implementation *	
Principle	Scoreboard	Full	Full and Partial
6. Division of roles	91	90	95
7. Role of government	91	86	93
8. Role of governing body	91	95	98
9. Decisions made by management	75	95	98
11. Annual report	86	95	98
12. Audit	86	100	100
13. Internal ethical standards	63	86	93
17. Financial information disclosure	78	81	90
Subtotal	79	87	96

^{*} IFSWF Survey with half credit for partial implementation.



Differences in implementation scores (3)

Investment and Risk Management

		Implementation *	
Principle	Scoreboard	Full	Full and Partial
18. Investment policies	64	80	90
19. Investment principles	35	95	98
21. Corporate responsibility policy	34	76	88
22. Risk management framework	77	71	86
Subtotal	53	80	91
Total (16 principles)	76	87	94

^{*} IFSWF Survey with half credit for partial implementation



General observations on 2013 Survey

- 2013 survey is less informative than the 2011 Survey
- Concern about operational independence of SWFs is unfortunate
- 3. Public disclosure is not highlighted nor promoted on SWF websites
- 4. IFSWF participation by members and nonmembers should be enhanced
- 5. I look forward to the 2015 survey results