

# Sovereign Development Funds

IFSWF Annual Meeting  
November 2016



**Shanthi Divakaran**  
Senior Financial Sector Specialist  
Finance and Markets Global Practice, World Bank Group  
(On behalf of Investment Funds Group)



# Sovereign Development Funds (SDFs) have emerged as a relatively new player within global financial architecture

- **Governments increasingly using investment fund model to advance a policy/development agenda, typically by channeling investment into strategic sectors e.g.. infrastructure, SMEs**
  - Sovereign Development Funds (SDFs) are sovereign investment agencies set up to achieve development objectives
  - Within this space, there are funds that may 1) seek co-investment; 2) can be set up by sovereigns/supra sovereigns/IFIs; 3) are not necessarily restricted to investing within sovereign boundaries;
  - ~30+ SDFs set up since 2005
- **Why now?**
  - Limited fiscal space for government, combined with the need to invest in key sectors
  - Countries with high domestic investment needs want to take advantage of potential high returns in domestic markets.
  - Increase in global AUM signal potential for co investment with private sector

# Despite commonalities, SDFs are heterogeneous in almost all respects

- **Heterogeneity**
  - Source of funding: fiscal surplus, privatization proceeds, pension fund surplus, govt borrowing , receipts from commodity exports, IFI investments etc.
  - Ownership: Sovereign or Supra Sovereign (e.g. GEEREF)
  - Policy goals: Economic development through SMEs/infrastructure; Facilitate SOE reforms; Attract co-investment; Diversify away from oil & gas reliance
  - Invested sectors: Often infrastructure, but also Real estate, Tourism, Agribusiness, Finl Services etc.
  - Return expectations: Market; Below market

# Global Examples of SDFs

- **Philippines Investment Alliance for Infrastructure (2012)**
  - **\$625mn**, with capital from Philippines Govt Service Insurance System Fund (GSIS): 64% and Netherlands Algemene Pensioen Groep (24%)
  - Invests in greenfield and brownfield infrastructure in the Philippines
- **FONSIS (Senegal) (2011)**
  - **~\$760mn**; state budget
  - Investments to stimulate economic growth and job creation, Infrastructure, SMEs (invested in VC which funds SMEs).
- **Ireland Strategic Investment Fund (2014)**
  - **\$8bn**, sourced by share of assets from National Pension reserve Fund
  - Investments to promote Irish economy through equity, infrastructure, PE
- **Khazanah Nasional (Malaysia) (1993)**
  - **\$40bn+**, sourced by govt share of privatized national agencies; issues Islamic bonds
  - Investments to promote devtpt of strategic industries and for long-term economic interests
- **Global Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Fund (GEEREF) (2008)**
  - **Euro 222mn** (Germany, Norway, private funds)
  - Provides risk capital for energy efficient & renewable energy projects in developing countries (Asia, Africa, Latin America)

# SDFs represent a useful tool for development, with potential benefits for both government and private sector

- **Government perspective**

- Attract private co-investment, thus leveraging public sector investment
  - » At the fund level
  - » At the project level (particularly for infrastructure )
- Catalyze capital flows to priority sectors of national/regional economies
- Build govt capacity as professional investors
- Bring specialized/ sector-specific expertise to structure/ finance investments

- **Private investor perspective**

- Ability to realize returns through access to investment opportunities (e.g. through project origination) with government partnership
- Provide co-investors a degree of implicit political / regulatory risk insurance
- Leveraging government capital

# But governments must also be attuned to challenges when setting up SDFs

- **If double bottom line, governments must be able to maximize policy objective while minimizing risk to commercial orientation (capital, returns, and the integrity of investments)**
  - *If policy objectives prioritized over commercial objectives, funding could go towards politically motivated projects*
  - *If commercial incentives overly prioritized, risk of crowding out private investors*
  - Separate legal entity of fund many not always translate to operational independence
  - Investors may not be attracted because of low returns
- **Multiple entry points in the design, structure and governance arrangements of SIFs that must address these challenges and seek to mitigate risk**
  - Clear articulation of mandate
  - Investment policy (defines goals, risk tolerance, liquidity requirements)
  - Fund model and structure
  - Co investors
  - Fund management
  - Governance arrangements, public disclosures, transparency
  - Performance measures/ benchmarks for financial and policy objectives
  - Incentives for private investors